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#### Abstract

Genitalia show that the montane Mexican genus Poanopsis Godman fits snugly within the origenes group of Polites Scudder and that Yoretta Hemming constitutes a new species group of Polites. Relationships have been masked in part by evolutionary reduction and loss of various characters. The origenes group, with the northern superspecies Polites mystic ( $P$. mystic (Edwards) $+P$. sonora (Scudder)), the intermediate linking species $P$. origenes (Fabricius), and the southern sister species $P$. puxillius (Mabille) new combination and $P$. pupillus ( $\mathrm{Plötz}$ ) new combination, extends from the width of southern Canada to southern Mexico. The rhesus group of Polites, with $P$. rhesus (Edwards) and the sister species P. carus (Edwards) and P. subreticulata (Plötz) (new combinations, all), extends from southern central Canada to Panama. Geographic distributions of species within these groups (and in the themistocles and vibex groups of Polites, as well) still strongly reflect allopatric speciation.


Additional key words: genitalia (male and female), variation, generic limits, character reduction and loss, speciation (allopatric).

In dealing with biodiversity, systematists divide and conquer. Formally described divisions assume a life of their own. The longer they live and the more we use them, the sounder they seem. Sometimes we get so accustomed to overly fine divisions that we cannot see the forest for the trees. The American genus Polites Scudder is one such forest, a good bit larger and denser than we thought.

Once upon a time, Godman (1900) created Poanopsis for a small, brown, high montane, Mexican skipper, Pamphila puxillius Mabille, which he made the type of his monotypic new genus. He observed that puxillius, with its short, broad wings, looks like massasoit Scudder, the type of the genus Poanes Scudder (hence the name Poanopsis), but differs conspicuously in having an oblique stigma on the forewing of the male from the origin of vein 3 to vein 1. Godman (1900:pl. 93, fig. $40-\mathrm{my}$ Fig. 1) illustrated the male genitalia of Poanopsis puxillius without comment. In mid century, Evans (1955) added a similar Mexican skipper, pupillus Plötz (=catahorma Dyar), to Poanopsis, caricatured the male genitalia of both species, and set Poanopsis next to Poanes, eight genera removed from Polites. However, genitalia show that both species of Poanopsis belong in one of the species groups of Polites.

Polites is a familiar, widespread, New World genus, stretching from Canada to central Argentina, from Atlantic to Pacific coasts in both Americas, and through much of the West Indies. On the basis of genitalic


Fig. 1. Male genitalia of Polites puxillius (minus left valva) in left lateral view (ex Godman 1900:pl. 93, fig. 40). This figure presents the inner surface of the right valva whereas those that follow present the outer surface of the left valva. The specimen is "from the high tablelands of MEXICO."
and stigmal characters, MacNeill (1993) recognized four subdivisions: the themistocles group, the origenes group, the vibex group, and Polites baracoa (Lucas). He pointed out that, within groups (the themistocles group in particular), genitalia may be so conservative and so individually variable that differences between species blur but that, paradoxically, superficial color pattern will distinguish some of the genitalic look-alikes.

## The Origenes Group of Polites

The origenes group contains the eastern and central North American Polites origenes (Fabricius) plus the continent-spanning and largely allopatric sisters P. mystic (Edwards) and P. sonora (Scudder), all of which show some internal differentiation. From an evolutionary perspective, $P$. mystic and $P$. sonora can be considered a superspecies (see Stanford \& Opler 1993:74, 75 for maps that more or less reflect the mutual geographic replacement of these two similar species, and see Burns 1964, 1983 for detailed analyses of superspecies in other American skippers). The origenes group ranges from Canada to the southern United States and, disjunctly, to higher elevations in the Sierra San Pedro Mártir of Baja California Norte, Mexico. Now, with Polites puxillius new combination and Polites pupillus new combination, it jumps from three to five obvious species and from the southern United States to southern mainland Mexico. Though these five species vary greatly in facies, they are genitalically close, especially in males.

To be sure, male genitalia are grossly similar throughout the genus Polites (see figures in Scudder 1889, Skinner \& Williams 1924 [or Lindsey et al. 1931], MacNeill 1993). Of all parts, the aedeagus is most generally useful in clustering species. Its accessories at once stamp the origenes group: the paired, toothed titillators are large, boldly dentate plates, something like semicircular saws (Figs. 1-5), extending downward from the lower part of the flared, distal end of the aedeagus (Figs. $1,3,5)$; and the lone cornutus is a rolled scroll bearing a crown of thorns (Figs. 2-5). In all other Polites the paired, toothed titillators assume


Figs. 2, 3. Male genitalia of Polites puxillius from $28 \mathrm{mi}(45 \mathrm{~km})$ E El Salto, 8400 ft ( 2560 m ), Durango, MEXICO, 6-7 August 1972, Viers \& MacNeill [genitalia dissection number X-2652] (USNM). Scale $=1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. 2, Tegumen, uncus, tip of gnathos, both valvae, both titillators (each with four teeth), and the single cornutus (like a rolled scroll bearing a crown of thorns)-all in posterior view; 3, Complete genitalia (minus right valva, right titillator, and juxta) in left lateral view, with vesica everted.

Figs. 4, 5. Male genitalia of Polites pupillus from Sierra de Guerrero, MEXICO, July 1913, R. Müller [X-2699] (USNM) (type of Amblyscirtes catahorma Dyar). Scale $=1.0$ mm .4 , Tegumen, uncus, tip of gnathos, both valvae, both titillators (left with 10 teeth, right with 8), and the single cornutus (like a rolled scroll bearing a crown of thorns)all in posterior view; 5 , Complete genitalia (minus right valva, right titillator, and juxta) in left lateral view, with vesica everted.

very different shapes, come from the upper (rather than lower) part of the distal end of the aedeagus, and, if they extend anywhere, extend backward (not downward); decorated cornuti number two or three (instead of one) and suggest thorny scrolls only in the vibex group (where the aedeagus sports a unique, midventral, caudally-directed prong).

The distal end of the uncus, which is divided, is bent farther dorsad in the origenes group (Figs. 1, 3,5) than in any other group of Polites.

Female genitalia in Polites present less of a generic gestalt, varying more both within and between groups-and, to some degree, in par-allel-so that group characterization is not as simple. In the origenes group (also in the vibex group, but in no others) the apophyses anteriores join the lamella postvaginalis via continuously sclerotized bands (Figs. $6-9$ ). In the origenes group (also in P. baracoa) sclerotization of the lamella postvaginalis is intermediate ( $P$. puxillius [Figs. 6, 7], P. pupillus [Figs. 8, 9], and $P$. origenes) to extensive (superspecies $P$. mystic) rather than limited (as it is in the themistocles group [MacNeill 1993] and in the vibex group [where the lamella postvaginalis carries a unique, midventral, short, sclerotized, finely spined keel]). In the origenes group sclerotization of the ductus bursae is partial and posterior; but it may be ventral only (superspecies P. mystic), ventral and lateral (P. pupillus [Figs. 8, 9]), or ventral, lateral, and, in a very narrow band, dorsal ( $P$. puxillius [Figs. 6, 7], P. origenes, and, at times, vaguely, P. pupillus)always with a longitudinal, midventral groove (Figs. 6-9) or break in the sclerotization. (Sclerotization of the ductus bursae is partial, posterior, but $360^{\circ}$ and broadly ringlike [though midventrally weak] in the vibex group; partial, lengthy, mostly ventral but also somewhat lateral [resembling a long, essentially ungrooved, scoop] in P. baracoa; and complete, extending more or less the entire length of the ductus bursae and running all the way around, usually with a strong middorsal groove and a ventral pouch, in the themistocles group [MacNeill 1993].)

While the northern major differentiates of the origenes group of Polites ( $P$. origenes and superspecies $P$. mystic) are widely known, repeatedly shown in the rising tide of North American butterfly books, and readily separable superficially, the southern differentiates ( $P$. puxillius and P. pupillus) are not and must be treated here. Whereas in both sexes of mystic and sonora and in males of origenes extensive yellow to yellow-orange brightens the dorsal wing surface, in females of origenes and in both sexes of puxillius (Figs. 23, 24) and pupillus (Figs. 25, 26) the wings above look basically brown (with a variable set of small, pale spots [yellowish in origenes, white in puxillius and pupillus]). Wingshape is sexually dimorphic in the northern three species but not in the southern two: in mystic, sonora, and origenes the wings of males are narrower and more pointed, those of females, broader and
rounder; but in puxillius and pupillus the wings are broad and round in both sexes (Figs. 23-26). So, with respect to both color and wingshape, puxillius and pupillus tend to resemble females of origenes.

In the two Mexican species, wing spots, which are white, are expressed better by pupillus (Figs. 25, 26) than by puxillius (Figs. 23, 24). Forewing spots of pupillus look whiter and brighter partly because, in some to most of them, some scales stand up from the surface of the wing so as to let light through. In puxillius all the white scales lie flat against the wing so that all spots are opaque, none hyaline. Usually, pupillus develops a full set of forewing spots-in spaces $1 \mathrm{~b}, 2,3,4,5,6,7,8$, and the cell (Figs. 25, 26)-but puxillius rarely does, almost always dropping the one or two spots in space lb (Figs. 23, 24), often skipping those in spaces 4 and 5 , as well as that in 8 (Fig. 23), and, in one male examined, losing all but spots 3 and 6 . At least some hindwing spots (which are opaque) appear dorsally in pupillus (usually spots 2 to 6 ) (Figs. 25, 26) while none really do in puxillius (Fig. 23) (at most, there may be a suggestion of a spot in space 3-see Fig. 24). Ventrally, where spot development is better, up to a full set may surface in pupillusin spaces lc, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 (rare) and the cell (Figs. 25, 26); in puxillius ventral expression runs the gamut from all except the rare spot 7 (Fig. 24) to nothing whatsoever.

As for real interspecific differences in the conservative but individually variable male genitalia, titillator teeth are fewer in puxillius (Figs. $1-3$ ), varying from 4 to 6 (usually 4) on each of the paired titillators, and commoner in pupillus (Figs. 4, 5), where they vary from 6 to 10 (usually 7 or 8 ). The valva of puxillius, in lateral view (Fig. 3), is more tapered distally along its ventral margin and hence not as broad (from top to bottom) at its distal end as it is in pupillus (Fig. 5). (Evans does not mention these differences; yet once you know what to look for, you can detect both of them in his [1955:pl. 78, M.21.1, M.21.2] genitalic cartoons.) In posterior view, the lower part of the divided distal end of the valva usually forms more of a U in puxillius (Fig. 2) than it does in pupillus (Fig. 4). Though conspicuous in the specimens figured, this difference almost vanishes when certain variants are compared. The greater valval height of pupillus, evident in lateral view, usually shows too in posterior view as a ventral, vertical extension below the U (compare Fig. 4 with Fig. 2).

One interspecific difference in the highly variable female genitalia crept into the foregoing group comparisons: the incomplete sclerotization of the ductus bursae (mainly ventral and lateral) continues dorsally to form a narrow but unmistakable sclerotized ring around the ductus in puxillius (Figs. 6, 7) but not-or, at best, very weakly-in pupillus (Figs. 8, 9). In addition, the sides of the midventral groove in


Figs. 6, 7. Female genitalia of Polites puxillius from $25 \mathrm{mi}(40 \mathrm{~km})$ W Durango, Durango, MEXICO, 5 August 1972, MacNeill \& Viers [X-2653] (USNM). Scale $=1.0$ mm .6 , Ovipositor lobes (retracted), eighth tergite with apophyses anteriores (sclerotically connected to the lamella postvaginalis of the sterigma), sterigma, and bursa copulatrix in ventral view; 7, The same, plus the right apophysis posterioris and part of the ductus seminalis, in right lateral view.
the ductal sclerotization are more divergent anteriorly in puxillius (Fig. 6) than in pupillus (Fig. 8), and the sclerotization itself is more fluted in puxillius (Figs. 6, 7) than it is in pupillus (Figs. 8, 9). The lateral part of the ductal sclerotization (which is more extensive on the left side than on the right-see Figs. 7, 9) is more extensive on both sides in pupillus than it is in puxillius; so it better hides the roof of the


Figs. 8, 9. Female genitalia of Polites pupillus from $34 \mathrm{mi}(55 \mathrm{~km})$ SE Acatlán, 6000 $\mathrm{ft}(1830 \mathrm{~m})$, Puebla, MEXICO, 9 July 1952, E. E. Gilbert, C. D. MacNeill [X-3198] (MacNeill collection). Scale $=1.0 \mathrm{~mm} .8$, Ovipositor lobes (exserted) with apophyses posteriores, eighth tergite with apophyses anteriores (sclerotically connected to the lamella postvaginalis of the sterigma), sterigma, and bursa copulatrix in ventral view; 9, The same, plus part of the ductus seminalis, in right lateral view.
midventral groove in lateral view in pupillus (Fig. 9) than in puxillius (Fig. 7). Again in lateral view, the roof of the midventral groove is convex in puxillius (Fig. 7) but straight in pupillus (Fig. 9). All these interspecific differences are rather subtle.

Polites pupillus is larger than P. puxillius. In each species the forewing of the female averages about one mm longer than that of the male, and in each sex the forewing of pupillus averages about one mm longer than that of puxillius:

|  | sex | mean | range | n |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| puxillius | $\hat{y}$ | 13.6 | $12.6-14.4$ | 9 |
|  | $\circ$ | 14.9 | $14.0-15.6$ | 13 |
| pupillus | $\hat{y}$ | 14.8 | $13.5-16.2$ | 16 |
|  | $\circ$ | 15.7 | $15.0-16.4$ | 5 |

The number of segments in the nudum of the antenna ranges from 11 to 13 in puxillius (mean $=12.2, \mathrm{n}=21$ ) and from 11 to 14 in pupillus (mean $=12.8, \mathrm{n}=20$ ). In both species nudum segments are evenly split between the body of the club and the apiculus.

Although both species are montane, P. puxillius is higher, altitudinally tighter (recorded from 8000 to 8500 ft [2440-2590 m]), and less widely distributed (Durango [Fig. 10]-to as far south as Guerrero according to Godman 1900, Hoffmann 1941). Polites pupillus extends from 4200 to $7500 \mathrm{ft}(1280-2285 \mathrm{~m})$ and from Sonora and Sinaloa to Colima, Puebla, Guerrero, and Oaxaca (Fig. 10). So far as known, flight times are similar: dates on specimens of puxillius examined run from 18 July to 11 August; on pupillus, from 3 July to 8 August.

## The Rhesus Group of Polites

The rhesus group of Polites comprises at least three species (usually treated as two) that collectively range from the grasslands of southern Saskatchewan and Alberta, Canada, through the western Great Plains, southern Rocky Mountains, and southwestern United States, through Mexico and Central America, to the middle of Panama. Although Godman (1900:474) noted that "the structure of the genitalia of the males is very similar in the two species" when he put them in his new genus Chaerephon, he did not see the great similarity between their genitalia (Godman 1900:pl. 93, figs. 4 and 7-my Figs. 15, 16) and those of what he called Thymelicus vibex (pl. 93, fig. 14) and Poanopsis puxillius (pl. 93, fig. 40-my Fig. 1), which were on the same plate and which now are both in Polites. Likewise, Skinner and Williams (1923) figured the


Fig. 10. Geographic distribution (based on plottable material examined) of Mexican sister species Polites puxillius (triangles) and P. pupillus (dots).
male genitalia of the two U.S. species of Chaerephon and then (1924) those of nine U.S. species of Polites without seeing how remarkably close they are. Enamored of names, Hemming (1935) saw that Chaerephon Godman is a junior homonym and replaced it with Yvretta. Commenting that its "genitalia [are] very like those of Polites," Evans (1955:315) still set Yuretta next to Hylephila, six genera removed from Polites (and 21 genera from Poanopsis). MacNeill (1993:177) observed that "male genitalic similarities suggest that the nearest relatives of Polites are the genera Yuretta Hemming, Hylephila Billberg, and Wallengrenia Berg." I am going much further by reducing Yvretta to a new species group of Polites, with the species Polites rhesus (Edwards), P. carus (Edwards), and P. subreticulata (Plötz) (new combinations).

Like all other elements of the male genitalia, the aedeagus in the rhesus group has that Polites look: anteriorly narrow, it at least doubles in size (in lateral view) with the entry of the ductus ejaculatorius and more or less flares at the elaborate distal end, which flaunts a pair of


Figs. 11-13. Male genitalia of Polites subreticulata from Coatepec, Veracruz, MEXICO, October 1910, R. Müller [X-3499] (USNM). Scale $=1.0 \mathrm{~mm} .11$, Tegumen and uncus (gnathos hidden) in dorsal view; 12, Complete genitalia (minus right valva and juxta) in left lateral view (left titillator more dorsal and more tightly dentate than right), with vesica everted showing all three cornuti (two dentate [upper one curved, lower one straight] and one without teeth); this individual somewhat malformed anteriorly: saccus curved sharply upward, aedeagus bent to right, and bottom of tegumen not curved ventrad near juncture with vinculum (compare Fig. 14); 13, Aedeagus in dorsal view (left titillator more tightly dentate than right), with vesica everted (only the two dentate cornuti visible).
toothed titillators as well as toothed cornuti in the vesica (Figs. 1, 3, 5, 12-16, and figs. 11-19 in MacNeill 1993).

In the rhesus group, the paired, toothed titillators come from the upper part of the distal end of the aedeagus, extend backward with


Fig. 14. Male genitalia (digital image) of Polites rhesus from Sapillo Creek Valley, $5800 \mathrm{ft}(1770 \mathrm{~m})$, $12 \mathrm{mi}(19 \mathrm{~km})$ N Pinos Altos, Grant Co., New Mexico, USA, 28 May 1959, J. M. \& S. N. Burns [X-2712] (USNM). Complete genitalia in left lateral view (right titillator out of focus and toothless cornutus hidden), with vesica everted showing both dentate cornuti (upper one curved, lower one straight).
one twist along their narrow length, and then expand distally into the dentate portion, with 3 to 7 teeth (usually 5) on the left titillator and 4 to 7 teeth (usually 4,5 , or 6 ) on the right (Figs. 12-16). The teeth are closer together on the left titillator than they are on the right one (Figs. 12, 13). In another asymmetric touch, the left titillator is more dorsal than the right one (Fig. 12). Like the number of teeth, the length of the titillators varies individually instead of interspecifically or geographically: in each species of the rhesus group, the titillators may be equal (Figs. 12, 13, 15), or the left one may be a little to a lot shorter than the right. Two of the three cornuti are conspicuous and tentlikeone essentially straight, the other slightly to very curved, each with 3 to 6 teeth, which are closer together on the straight cornutus than they are on the curved one (Figs. 12-14). The third cornutus is very inconspicuous, amounting to nothing but a bit of lightly sclerotized vesica (Fig. 12).

Right down to the titillators and cornuti, the aedeagus of the rhesus group recalls that of the themistocles group (compare Figs. 12-16 with figs. 11-19 in MacNeill 1993 and see MacNeill's diagnosis of the themistocles group). Some variation is less rampant in the themistocles group, even though that group is larger: in $P$. themistocles (Latreille) itself, the two titillators are always the same length (fig. 19 in MacNeill 1993); and in what I consider the peckius subgroup comprising P. peckius (Kirby), P. sabuleti (Boisduval), P. norae MacNeill, P. draco (Edwards), and $P$. mardon (Edwards), the left titillator is always much shorter


Figs. 15, 16. Male genitalia of rhesus group Polites (minus left valva) in left lateral view (ex Godman 1900:pl. 93, figs. 4, 7). 15, Polites subreticulata from MEXICO or GUATEMALA (aedeagus artificially rotated so that the left titillator is lower than the right whereas the reverse is really true); 16, Polites rhesus from Milpas, 5900 ft ( 1800 m ), Durango, MEXICO (tegumen plus uncus artificially twisted so that the underlying gnathos and the gap between it and the uncus fail to show).
than the right (figs. 11-18 in MacNeill 1993). Throughout the themistocles group, the third, lightly sclerotized cornutus (inconspicuous in the rhesus group) is elongate and suggestive of a scouring pad (with a surface that MacNeill [1993] calls "minutely scobinate"). Otherwise, the basic forms and arrangements of aedeagal accessories are closely similar in the rhesus and themistocles groups (small differences will be evident in the comparison of figures-but a few figures cannot adequately convey individual variation, which tends to weaken such differences). In contrast, forms and arrangements of aedeagal accessories differ sharply (and in different ways) in the origenes group (Figs. 1-5), the vibex group, and P. baracoa.

What aspects of the male genitalia set the rhesus group apart? In Polites the distal end of the uncus is divided: each of the two uncal tips terminates in a two-layered comb, with extremely close-set tines curving backward and downward in each layer. These "uncal combs" (called "pectines" by MacNeill 1993) are slightly enlarged in the rhesus group (Figs. 11, 12, 14, 16), intermediate in the themistocles and origenes (Figs. 2-5) groups, reduced in the vibex group, and absent in $P$. baracoa. There is a sizable gap between the distal end of the uncus and the underlying divided gnathos in the rhesus (Figs. 12, 14, 15), origenes (Figs. 1, 3, 5), and vibex groups, and in P. themistocles (fig. 10 in MacNeill 1993)-but not in other members of the themistocles group (i.e., the peckius subgroup), where the gnathos is up against the bottom of the uncus (figs. 2-9 in MacNeill 1993). There is no gap in P. baracoa, either, but for a totally different reason: this species has lost the underlying divided gnathos.

In Polites the dorsodistal corner of the valva is split by a small notch (Figs. 3, 5, 12, 14-16, and figs. 2-10 in MacNeill 1993): the dorsal margin of the valva immediately anterior to this notch supports a dense field of more or less dorsally-directed bristles; and the posterior margin of the valva immediately posterior to this notch displays (in lateral view) at least one sizable, dorsally- or dorsocaudally-directed tooth
which, in turn, joins one or more teeth (readily visible in posterior view [Figs. 2, 4]) that extend mediad beneath the more or less mediailyexpanded posterior edge of the dense field of bristles. Medial expansion of the bristled area is least in the vibex and themistocles groups, strong in the origenes group, and greatest in P. baracoa and the rhesus group. In lateral view this expansion produces a slight hump (in the origenes group, Figs. 3,5) to a very perceptible hump (in P. baracoa and the rhesus group, Figs. 12, 14) on the dorsal margin of the valva anterior to the valval notch. The posterior edge of the dense field of bristles is without teeth in the rhesus group, finely dentate in the themistocles group, more coarsely dentate in P. baracoa and the origenes group (Figs. 2, 4), and most coarsely dentate in the vibex group.

About halfway down the posterior margin of the valva (in lateral view) a massive projection extends backward in P. baracoa and one or more sizable teeth point backward in the rhesus group (Figs. 12, 1416). This portion of the posterior margin is finely dentate (Figs. 3, 5) to smooth in the origenes group and essentially smooth in the vibex and themistocles groups (figs. 2-10 in MacNeill 1993).

The one or more teeth-visible in posterior view (Figs. 2, 4)-that extend mediad beneath the posterior edge of the dense field of bristles, are conspicuously multiple in the origenes group (Figs. 2, 4), in the vibex group, in P. baracoa, and in P. themistocles but are single to inconspicuously multiple especially in the peckius subgroup but also, somewhat less consistently, in the rhesus group.

The posterior margin of the valva (in lateral view) has more of a "chin"-so looks more squared off-in the rhesus group (Figs. 12, 1416) than in any other group of Polites. Certain members of the origenes group (P. mystic, P. sonora, P. pupillus [Fig. 5], and some individuals of $P$. origenes) come closest to this well-chinned look. Farthest from it, in a sense, is the vibex group because the posterior half of the ventral margin of the valva is narrowly excised.

Female genitalia in the rhesus group (Figs. 17-22) are broadly reminiscent of those of the origenes group-particularly $P$. origenes, $P$. puxillius, and P. pupillus (Figs. 6-9)-except that the apophyses anteriores are not sclerotically connected to the lamella postvaginalis. Sclerotization of the lamella postvaginalis is intermediate, as in P. origenes, $P$. puxillius, and P. pupillus. Sclerotization of the ductus bursae is partial-mostly ventral and lateral, with a narrow, dorsal extension anteriorly-in P. rhesus (Figs. 17, 18) and P. carus (Figs. 19, 20), much as in P. origenes, P. puxillius (Figs. 6, 7), and P. pupillus (Figs. 8, 9); but it is virtually complete in $P$. subreticulata (Figs. 21, 22). In all three species of the rhesus group, as in all members of the origenes group, the ductus bursae has a ventral, longitudinal groove or break. This


Figs. 17, 18. Female genitalia of Polites rhesus from Denver, Colorado, USA [X3490] (USNM). Scale $=1.0 \mathrm{~mm} .17$, Ovipositor lobes (exserted) with apophyses posteriores, eighth tergite with apophyses anteriores (not sclerotically connected to the lamella postvaginalis of the sterigma), sterigma, and bursa copulatrix in ventral view; 18, The same, plus part of the ductus seminalis, in right lateral view.
groove is to the right of center in the rhesus group (Figs. 17, 19, 21) instead of more or less midventral as it is in the origenes group (Figs. $6,8)$.

Owing to their overall conservatism and their individual variation,
the male genitalia of the rhesus group are not diagnostic at the specific level. But here, as in the themistocles group, external color pattern separates species: the underside of the hindwing instantly sets $P$. rhesus (Figs. 27, 28) apart from P. carus (Figs. 29, 30) and P. subreticulata (Figs. 31, 32). These last two species are much more similar in pattern (so much so that they are mistakenly regarded as subspecies). Color may help in determining unworn specimens: the spots of the upperside are usually creamy to pale yellow in $P$. carus and light to medium yellow-orange in $P$. subreticulata. Although the undersides tend to be creamier in carus, yellower in subreticulata, they overlap considerably.

It is the female genitalia that best distinguish these species. The ductus bursae is only about half sclerotized (ventrally and laterally) in P. carus (Figs. 19, 20) but almost fully sclerotized in P. subreticulata (Figs. 21, 22). In both, the sclerotized ductus bursae usually looks rather globular in ventral view (Figs. 19, 21); but in P. subreticulata it tends-dorso-laterally-to extend backward slightly (and usually to flare slightly) at the ostium bursae (Fig. 21). Genitalic differences between P. carus and the superficially distinct $P$. rhesus are much more subtle because in rhesus, as in carus, the ductus bursae is only about half sclerotized (ventrally and laterally) (Figs. 17, 18). However, the sclerotized ductus bursae looks a little more elongate in P. rhesus, especially in ventral view (Fig. 17); and, where it approaches the corpus bursae, the anterior edge of the sclerotization is more irregular in rhesus (Fig. 18) than it is in P. carus (Fig. 20) (and, for that matter, P. subreticulata [Fig. 22]).

Polites rhesus ranges from southern Canada (Saskatchewan and Alberta), in a fairly narrow strip through the western Great Plains and southern Rocky Mountains of the United States (Stanford \& Opler 1993), to high mountains of central Mexico ( $10,000 \mathrm{ft}[3050 \mathrm{~m}$ ] in the state of México); P. carus, from the southwestern United States (western Texas to southeastern California-see Stanford \& Opler 1993) to central Mexico (Distrito Federal); and P. subreticulata, from central Mexico (Sinaloa, Jalisco, Colima, Michoacán, Distrito Federal, Morelos, and Veracruz), through Central America, to Panama (as far, at least, as the Canal).

## Discussion

## Generic Limits and Vanishing Traits

Extending generic limits this way calls for more discussion of variation in characters-especially their reduction and loss. The form of the antennal club and the length of its reflexed apiculus, which are widely used in skipper classification, are undeniably valuable. However, though they tend to be conservative at the generic level, they are hardly im-


Figs. 19, 20. Female genitalia of Polites carus from Sunny Glen Ranch, 5000-7000 ft (1525-2135 m), near Alpine, Texas, USA, 1-15 May 1926 [X-3488] (USNM). Scale $=$ 1.0 mm .19 , Ovipositor lobes (exserted) with apophyses posteriores, eighth tergite with apophyses anteriores (not sclerotically connected to the lamella postvaginalis of the sterigma), sterigma, and bursa copulatrix in ventral view; 20, The same, plus part of the ductus seminalis, in right lateral view.


Figs. 21, 22. Female genitalia of Polites subreticulata from Mazatlán, Sinaloa, MEXICO, J. A. Kusche [X-3494] (USNM). Scale $=1.0 \mathrm{~mm} .21$, Ovipositor lobes (exserted) with apophyses posteriores, eighth tergite with apophyses anteriores (not sclerotically connected to the lamella postvaginalis of the sterigma), sterigma, and bursa copulatrix in ventral view; 22, The same, plus part of the ductus seminalis, in right lateral view.


Figs. 23-32. Adults of species moved to Polites (all $\times$ 1) (in USNM unless otherwise indicated); in each figure, upperside on left, underside on right. 23, puxillius $\hat{6}, 28 \mathrm{mi}$ ( 45 km ) E El Salto, $8400 \mathrm{ft}(2560 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Durango, MEXICO, 6-7 August 1972, Vcirs $\varepsilon$ MacNeill (MacNeill collection); 24, puxillius $\$, 25 \mathrm{mi}(40 \mathrm{~km})$ W Durango, $8100 \mathrm{ft}(2470$ m ), Durango, MEXICO, 20 July 1964, J. A. Chemsak [X-3196] (Univ. Calif. Berkeley collection); 25, pupillus ô, Sierra de Guerrero, MEXICO, July 1913, R. Müller [X-2699] (type of Amblyscirtes catahorma Dyar); 26, pupillus $9,2 \mathrm{mi}(3 \mathrm{~km})$ SW Potrerillos, 4200 $\mathrm{ft}(1280 \mathrm{~m})$, Sinaloa, MEXICO, 7-8 August 1986, J. Brown \& Powell [X-3203] (Univ. Calif. Berkeley collection); 27, rhesus ô, Sapillo Creek Valley, $5800 \mathrm{ft}(1770 \mathrm{~m}), 12 \mathrm{mi}$ ( 19 km ) N Pinos Altos, Grant Co., New Mexico, USA, 28 May 1959, J. M. \& S. N. Burns [X-2712]; 28, rhesus $\%$, Cedar Creek Canyon, $6900 \mathrm{ft}(2100 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Ruidoso, Lincoln Co., New Mexico, USA, 24 May 1959, J. M. \& S. N. Burns [X-3504]; 29, carus ð, Portal, Chiricahua Mountains, $4800 \mathrm{ft}(1465 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Cochise Co., Arizona, USA, 18 July 1974, J. M. \& S. N. Burns [X-2708]; 30, carus $\&, 6.5 \mathrm{mi}(10.5 \mathrm{~km})$ NE Sawtooth Mountain, Davis Mountains, $5600 \mathrm{ft}(1705 \mathrm{~m})$, Jeff Davis Co., Texas, USA, 28 April 1959, J. M. \& S. N. Burns; 31, subreticulata o, Mexico City, MEXICO, 7 June 1897, O. W. Barrett [X-3498] (forewing with a long tear in space 1b); 32, subreticulata $\$$, Mexico City, MEXICO [X3491].
mutable. For example, Amblyscirtes alternata (Grote \& Robinson), which is an Amblyscirtes in the narrowest sense, is unique among all those species in having the sizable, delicate, sharp apiculus suddenly reduced to a short, blunt fraction of itself (Burns 1990). Lumping Yvretta with Polites may bother those who overweigh antennal clubs because the very short but definite apiculus of the rest of Polites seems to be lacking in the rhesus group. (Its blunt antennal club is one of the main reasons why Yuretta was created in the first place and kept well removed from Polites.) But in most specimens of each of the species of the rhesus group the blunt club actually ends in a slightly reflexed nubbin (comprising a few segments) that looks like a variably vestigial apiculus. A supposedly important difference between the rhesus group and the rest of Polites becomes relatively trivial.

Differences in stigmal expression are demonstrably insignificant. Although males of $P$. carus and $P$. subreticulata of the rhesus group have a fairly well developed, Polites-type stigma, males of $P$. rhesus do not: the stigma is, at best, variably vestigial, and is usually missing altogether. In apparent contrast, "real" Polites males "always" develop a good stigma-or they did until MacNeill (1993) described P. norae, which produces nothing at all. (Polites norae is near P. sabuleti in the stigmally well endowed themistocles group.) Stigmal expression has been shown to vary in a similar, unpredictable manner within other genera. Despite a respectable male stigma in most species of Atrytonopsis, the lunus group entirely lacks one (Burns 1982), and A. deva (Edwards) "runs a gamut from no stigma, through many and various vestigial and reduced expressions, all the way to the complete three-part structure" (Burns 1982:551).

On the genitalic front, no importance can be attached to the fact that the uncal combs of the rhesus group are bigger than those of other Polites. They are only about as much larger than those of the themistocles and origenes groups as those of the vibex group are smaller. At the farthest extreme, Polites includes baracoa, whose uncal combs have vanished without a trace. Moreover, baracoa has lost its gnathos. And, in a different kind of unique development, its paired, toothed, caudallyextending titillators have broadly joined each other, near their anterior ends, across the underside of the aedeagus. Altogether, in its genitalic morphology, the rhesus group is considerably closer to the Polites mainstream than is P. baracoa.

Some may be jolted by the broad, round, female-like wings of males of $P$. puxillius (Fig. 23) and P. pupillus (Fig. 25) within the origenes group, where males of all other species have narrower, more pointed wings than do females. But sexual dimorphism in wingshape (which is typical and extremely widespread in skippers) has abruptly disappeared
elsewhere within the genus Polites-in P. mardon within the peckius subgroup of the themistocles group.

## Distribution and Speciation

In the origenes group, $P$. origenes (mainly from the eastern and central United States) morphologically and geographically links the northern, transcontinental superspecies $P$. mystic ( $P$. mystic and $P$. sonora) and the Mexican sister species $P$. pupillus and $P$. puxillius. These montane sisters appear to be closely allopatric, in part through different altitudinal preferences; but data are too few to say for sure (see Fig. 10). Phylogenetically closer to the Mexican sisters than to superspecies $P$. mystic, P. origenes is out of touch with them and broadly sympatric with the superspecies-mostly with $P$. mystic (see maps in Opler \& Malikul 1992, Stanford \& Opler 1993). However, across all five species of the group, sympatry is limited. Their spatial distribution strongly reflects a set of allopatric speciation events.

In the rhesus group, the superficially distinctive and more northward ranging $P$. rhesus extensively overlaps $P$. carus in the southwestern United States and northern Mexico, whereas P. carus and P. subreticulata, which are sister species, seem (from meager distributional data) almost to replace each other geographically.

Again, the four strictly western members of the peckius subgroup of the themistocles group-P. draco, P. sabuleti, P. norae, and P. mar-don-are essentially allopatric (MacNeill 1993). So are several members of the vibex group, which Evans (1955) erroneously treated as a single, very widely distributed polytypic species.

All four species groups of Polites overlap in distribution, ranging in broadly repetitious-though different-patterns from the neotropics to the nearctic: the vibex group from Argentina, Paraguay, Brazil, Bolivia, and Peru to Mexico, the West Indies, and (primarily) the southeastern United States; the rhesus group from Panama to southern central Canada; the origenes group from southern Mexico to the width of southern Canada; and the themistocles group from central Mexico to the width of southern Canada, plus Yukon Territory. But all four species groups of Polites still give clear distributional evidence of allopatric speciation within themselves. This is independent evidence that the morphologically defined species groups are correct.
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